1 2 3	SANDRA RAE BENSON, Bar No. 121324 ALAN G. CROWLEY, Bar No. 203438 WEINBERG, ROGER & ROSENFELD WEINBERG, ROGER & ROSENFELD A Professional Corporation		
4 5	1001 Marina Village Parkway, Suite 200 Alameda, California 94501-1091 Telephone 510.337.1001 Fax 510.337.1023		
6 7	Attorneys for Defendants Operating Engineers Local Union No. 3 and John Bonilla		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11	FLORENCE DAVIS,) No. C-05-00221 MMC	
12	Plaintiff,)) JOINT STIPULATION EXTENDING) THE DEADLINE FOR CONDUCTING	
13	v.) AN EARLY NEUTRAL EVALUTION) SESSION; AND [PROPOSED] ORDER	
14	OPERATING ENGINEERS LOCAL UNION NO. 3, JOHN BONILLA,) [ADR Local Rule 5-5(a)]	
15 16	Defendants.)))	
17	Plaintiff Florence Davis, by her attorney Geoffrey Spellberg of Meyers, Nave, Riback,		
18 19	Silver & Wilson, and Defendants Operating Engineers Local Union No. 3 and John Bonilla by		
20	their attorney Alan G. Crowley of Weinberg, Roger & Rosenfeld, jointly stipulate to extend the		
21	deadline for conducting an early neutral evaluation session by sixty (60) days for the following		
22	reasons:		
23	1. After the Court's ADR Unit assigned Ms. Anna Marie Gannon as the evaluator for		
24	the parties, she switched employment to the Littler Mendelson law firm. 2. Defendant Operating Engineers requested the ADR Unit assign a different evaluator		
25			
26	new law firm represents many contractors and employers with whom Operating Engineers Local		
27			
. 1 ()	1		

28 WEINBERG, ROGER & ROSENFELD A Professional Corporation 1001 Marina Village Parkway Suize 200 Alameda, CA 94501-1091 510.337.1001

1	No. 3 has collective bargaining agreements, occasional labor disputes, and labor union organizing	
2	drives. Littler Mendelson frequently represents employers on the opposite side of labor disputes	
3	with Operating Engineers Local Union No. 3.	
4	3. On September 19, 2005, the ADR unit advised the parties that it will provide a new	
5	evaluator, however, the new evaluator may not be able to schedule an Early Neutral Evaluation	
6	session prior to the current deadline of October 16, 2005. The ADR unit requested we contact the	
7	court about extending the deadline.	
8	4. The trial date is scheduled for May 22, 2006; and, the non-expert discovery cutoff is	
9	Dec. 9, 2005.	
10	5. For these reasons, the parties are in agreement that the deadline to complete the	
11	early neutral evaluation session should be extended by sixty (60) days.	
12	6. Therefore, pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-1 and 7-11 and ADR Local Rule 5-5, the	
13	parties jointly request the Court extend the deadline for conducting the early neutral evaluation by	
14	sixty (60) days, which is by the date of December 16, 2005.	
15		
16	Date: September 20, 2005 WEINBERG, ROGER & ROSENFELD A Professional Corporation	
17		
18	By: /s/ SANDRA RAE BENSON	
19	ALAN G. CROWLEY Attorneys for Defendants	
20	Date: September 20, 2005 MEYERS, NAVE, RIBACK, SILVER & WILSON	
21		
22	By: /s/ GEOFFREY SPELLBERG	
23	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
24		
25		
26		
27	- 2 -	
28		

28
WEINBERG, ROGER & ROSENFELD
A Professional Corporation
1001 Marina Village Parkway
Suite 200
Alameda, CA 94501-1091
510.337.1001

ORDER. PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, it is so ordered that the Early Neutral Evaluation session in this matter shall be completed prior to December 16, 2005. Mafine M. Cherry Date: Sept. 20, 2005 United States District Judge 108564/396738 - 3 -

28
WEINBERG, ROGER & ROSENFELD
A Professional Corporation

ROSENFELD
A Professional Corporation
1001 Marina Village Parkway
Suite 200
Alameda, CA 94501-1091
510.337.1001

JOINT STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER EXTENDING THE DEADLINE FOR CONDUCTING AN EARLY NEUTRAL EVALUTION SESSION Case No. C-05-00221 MMC